

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128382
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Brown planthopper resistance in Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Host plant resistance is one of the important aspects in crop protection, developing varieties tolerant or resistant to insect pests is the need of the hour. The manuscript is meant to identify the hybrids that were resistant to brown plant hopper and at present the authors were successful in identifying 10 moderately resistant hybrids in this study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As per the authors guidelines, abstract should have aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results and conclusion. Please follow authors guidelines.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References should be presented as per the journal guidelines. References mentioned in the manuscript are recent. Elaborate discussion can be made in the manuscript along with added references. If possible, recent references of this Journal can be added to the manuscript	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments	Competing interest issues were not presented in this manuscript and it should be added in the manuscript Please See Attachment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P N Harathi
Department, University & Country	Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, India