

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128382
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Brown planthopper resistance in Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article is devoted to a problem of great importance for the region - the creation of rice hybrids that are sufficiently resistant to pests. In their research, the authors describe the selection of parental genotypes for the creation of rice hybrids resistant to brown planthopper infestation. The assessment of damage to the obtained rice plants was carried out using a standard methodology.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is appropriate. However, it could be further specified that the study focuses on rice hybrids specifically developed by the authors themselves.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract provides a good overview of the research topic. However, it might be helpful to add a sentence highlighting the key results of the study, particularly concerning the rice's resistance.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	I consider the manuscript to be scientifically correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	It is pleasing to note that the literature sources used are quite recent. However, their number is rather limited, and it might have been worthwhile to expand the list.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The level of English is sufficient for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article is dedicated to an important topic and presents the results of complex work on the creation of individual lines and hybrids of rice that are resistant to the brown planthopper. It might be beneficial to expand the description of the research results and include a discussion of the findings. This could further emphasize the extent of the work carried out. However, this does not diminish the significance of the article.	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khodanitska Olena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vinnitsia Mykhailo Kotsiubynskyi State Pedagogical University, Ukraine</b>