

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_128204</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Foliar Nutrient Application on Quality Attributes and Leaf Nutrient Composition in Kinnow Mandarin</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific importance of the manuscript lies in the fact that it studies the nutritional importance of some micronutrient applications as foliar spray in leaves mineral content, and the important qualitative characteristics of the fruits.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest a new title replacing leaf nutrient composition attributes before quality attributes. "Impact of Foliar Nutrient Application on Leaf Nutrient Composition and Quality Attributes in Kinnow Mandarin"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, as the researcher followed scientific procedures in implementing the research and how determining various fruiting attributes, in addition to the statistical analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient, but some of them are not recent(before 2015)	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	_____	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Mohammed Al-Hayany
Department, University & Country	University of Diyala, Iraq