

Original Research Article

Genetic variability, character association and path analysis studies in Rice Genotypes (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Abstract

Forty rice genotypes were evaluated for their genetic variability, correlation and path analysis studies with respect to yield and its attributing traits at Agricultural Research Station, Vadgaon Maval (Pune). The magnitude for test weight exhibited the highest GCV and PCV per cent, followed by flag leaf length, flag leaf breadth, infertile spikelets per panicle, fertile spikelets per panicle, grain yield per plant. High heritability (h^2) along with higher genetic advance (GA) as per-cent of mean was recorded for the characters viz., fertile spikelets per panicle, total spikelets per panicle, panicle length, flag leaf length, flag leaf breadth, test weight. The grain yield per plant was positively and significantly correlated with productive tillers per plant, panicle length, flag leaf breadth, spikelet fertility %, test weight. Days to 50% flowering observed significant positive correlation with days to maturity and plant height. Days to maturity found positive correlation with plant height and productive tillers per plant, plant height with panicle length, flag leaf length and flag leaf breadth, productive tillers per plant with fertile spikelets per panicle, total spikelets per panicle, spikelet fertility, Fertile spikelets per panicle with total spikelets per panicle, Infertile spikelets per panicle with only total spikelets per panicle. The trait test weight observed highest direct positive effect on grain yield per plant and followed by total spikelets per panicle, productive tillers per plant, and days to maturity, flag leaf breadth, infertile spikelets per panicle, spikelet fertility %, and plant height. The trait flag leaf length indicated positive indirect effect on grain yield through days to 50% flowering, days to maturity and productive tillers per plant. Flag leaf breadth indicated significant positively correlation with grain yield through positive direct effect *via* indirect influence of plant height, panicle length, flag leaf length, spikelet fertility and test weight.

Keywords: Heritability, Genetic advance, Genotypic and Phenotypic Coefficient of variation, Correlation, Direct effect, Indirect effect

Introduction

For the majority of the world's population, particularly in Asia, rice is the most extensively consumed staple food as a cereal grain. According to the United States Department of Agriculture, it is the agricultural crop with the third-highest global output, with 513.1 million metric tons produced in 2021-22 on an area of 165.7 million hectares. India ranks second only to China in terms of global rice production. With more than one fifth of all calories taken by humans globally coming from rice, it is the most significant grain in terms of human nutrition and calorie consumption. The main goals in rice crop improvement is to achieve high productivity, reducing yield loss through risk assessment, processing, adding value & commercialization majorly based on market information should be the goals of rice research strategies. However, there are various constraints in rice production which must be understood in order to fulfil its need to enhance rice production in order to satisfy the demands of the growing population and sustain self-sufficiency. In light of the depleting and deteriorating resource base, including land, freshwater and labour along with other inputs, an increase in rice production must be achieved while avoiding drastically influencing the environment's quality. To achieve food security in the country and to increase in production which is possible by exploiting heterosis where genetic diversity plays important role in plant breeding. The genetic origin of the accessible gene bank must thus be understood and used to a great extent by plant breeders. Assessment of variability present in any crop species is an essential prerequisite for formulating an effective breeding program. The existing variability can be used to further enhancement of yield. Moreover, characters that are part of the yield exhibit associations both with one another and with the yield. By sStudying the genetic make-up of current cultivars' germplasms in contrast to those of their forebears and similar species is crucial. This would clearly show not only how strongly related phylogenetically, but it will also show if there is a likelihood of discovering new and beneficial genes since the enlistments with its most distinctive genetic information seem to be likely to have better concentration of novel alleles.

Yield is a complex trait and dependent on many component traits. Hence, it is necessary to have knowledge on the extent of association between yield and yield contributing characters. By choosing the right yield component characteristics, yield may be improved effectively. Characters that are part of the yield exhibit associations both with one another and with the yield.The degree of proximity and the linear link between two variables are measured by correlation, which also serves as a measure of their mutual relationship. The splitting of

correlations into the direct and indirect effect of different characters on yield is made easier by path analysis. The benefit of path analysis is that it enables the separation of the correlation coefficient into its various components, one of which is the path coefficient, which measures the direct impact of a predictor variable on its response variable, and the other of which is the indirect effect of a variable on the dependent variables through yet another dependent variable (Dewey and Lu, 1959). Plant breeders have utilized path analysis to help those observing features that may be used as screening criteria to increase crop output in agriculture (Dewey and Lu, 1959). The selection procedure will be aided by knowledge of character associations and the directly and indirectly impacts that each character has on yield. To forecast the best overall cross combinations and choose the optimum plant type with the highest yield, plant breeders must discover substantial connections between yield component characteristics as well as impact of yield component characters on grain production (Nagaraju *et al.*, 2013). [Keeping the above aspects in consideration the present investigation was done to estimate genetic variability, character association and path analysis in rice.](#)

Materials and Methods

The present experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Station, Vadgaon (Maval), Pune. Forty (40) genotypes of rice originating from different regions and showing phenotypic variability for different agronomic and yield characters were used from Agricultural Research Station, Vadgaon (Maval). The list of promising genotypes along with released varieties used for study are given in **Table 1**. The experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2020. Each genotype was sown in three rows of 4 m length following a spacing of 20 cm between the rows and 15 cm between the plants in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. Standard agronomic practices were performed uniformly for all the experimental units. Crop was raised following recommended package of practices. Phenological data on days to 50% flowering was recorded for plots of each genotype. At maturity five plants from each accession were selected randomly for recording data on grain yield per plant; yield component traits, namely, plant height, productive tillers per plant, panicle length, grains per panicle and test weight. In contrast, observations for test weight were obtained from a random grain sample drawn from each plot and replication using standard procedures. The statistical analysis was done by standard statistical method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1995). Mean performance of the genotypes were calculated and the genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variation were estimated by using the formula given by Burton (1952). The estimates of PCV and GCV were classified as low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%) according to Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon (1973). Heritability in broad sense (h^2) was estimated according to the formula suggested by Burton (1952) and classified as per Robinson *et al.* (1949). Estimation of genetic advance was carried out following the formula

given by Johnson *et al.* (1955). The genotypic correlation coefficients were worked out by adopting method described by Singh and Chaudhary (1977). Path coefficient analysis was done according to the procedure suggested by Dewey and Lu (1959).

Formatted: Justified

Results and Discussion

The variation between genotypic and phenotypic characteristics indicates the influence of environmental factors. Formulating selection criteria will help from information of heredity and genetic advance. In **Table 2**, the estimates of genotypic coefficient of variation, range of variability and phenotypic coefficients of variation, the percentage of heritability in a broad sense, and the genetic advance reported as a percentage of mean were given. For each of the traits examined in the current analysis a significant range of variance was observed. In forty genotypes, overall high significant differences were identified in total spikelets per panicle (265.50-154.50) followed by fertile spikelets panicle (237.50-135), plant height (104.50-59.50), days to maturity (144-107), days to 50% flowering (80-110), flag leaf length (23.75-50.80), test weight (12.70-29.85), infertile spikelet per panicle (16-32), panicle length (17-30.60), grain yield (16.30-27.45), spikelet fertility % (85.75-91.85), productive tiller per plant (5.10-7.60) and flag leaf breadth (1.25-2.85). This observed that there was a large amount of space for these features to be exploited as reported earlier grains per panicle, productive tillers per plant, panicle length, seed yield per plant, 1000 grain weight and plant height Mythilli *et al.* (2018). For all characters, it was observed that estimate for genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) were lower than phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV). For spikelet fertility % exhibited the lowest GCV (1.616) as well as PCV (1.958), whereas test weight (1000 grain weight) exhibited the highest GCV (23.837) and PCV (24.259).

The highest GCV and PCV difference was recorded for grain yield per plant. However lowest GCV and PCV difference was recorded for days to maturity followed by flag leaf length and days to 50% flowering. Highest value of GCV (23.837) and PCV (24.259) for test weight were followed by flag leaf length GCV (21.432) and PCV (21.675), flag leaf breadth GCV (18.195) and PCV (18.561), infertile spikelets per panicle GCV (18.195) and PCV (19.770), fertile spikelets per panicle GCV (15.795) and PCV (16.470), grain yield per plant GCV (13.913) and PCV (16.113), plant height GCV (12.797) and PCV (13.797),

panicle length GCV (11.614) and PCV (12.061), productive tillers per plant GCV (9.592) and PCV (10.179), days to 50% flowering GCV (8.094) and PCV (8.198), days to maturity GCV (7.449) and PCV (7.519). The larger difference between GCV and PCV showed by the characters were influenced due to the environment. Lingaiah *et al.* (2020) and Mythilli *et al.* (2018) which had also observed that PCV was higher than the GCV for all characters.

Days to maturity observed the highest broad sense heritability (98.13%) and followed by flag leaf length (97.76%). Days to 50% flowering showed heritability (97.48%) followed by test weight (96.55%), flag leaf breadth (96.10%), total spikelets per panicle (93.05%), panicle length (92.73%), fertile spikelets per panicle (91.97%), followed by high heritability in some characters like such as productive tiller per plant (88.80%), plant height (86.03%), infertile spikelets per panicle (84.70%), grain yield per plant (74.56%) and spikelet fertility (%) (68.15%) character. High heritability estimates suggest that these characters were least influenced by the environment. The character, total spikelets per panicle observed highest genetic advance at 5% selection intensity (61.378), followed by fertile spikelets per panicle (55.244) and days to maturity (19.614). The lowest genetic advance (GA) was showed for flag leaf breadth (0.658), followed by productive tillers per plant (1.157), followed by spikelet fertility % (2.427). The highest genetic advance (GA) as per cent of mean was showed for the traits plant height (24.451), panicle length (23.038), flag leaf length (43.653), flag leaf breadth (36.743), fertile spikelets per panicle (31.205), infertile spikelets per panicle (34.496), total spikelets per panicle (30.632), test weight (42.248) and grain yield per plant (24.748). The moderate genetic advance (GA) as per cent of mean was showed to traits days to 50% flowering (16.462), days to maturity (15.200) and productive tillers per plant (18.620). The low genetic advance (GA) as per cent of mean showed to trait spikelet fertility % (2.748). High heritability (h^2) with moderate genetic advance as per cent of mean showed for the characters days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity and productive tillers per plant suggested that both additive and non-additive gene effects were involved in the genetic regulation of these traits. High heritability (h^2) along with higher genetic advance (GA) as per-cent of mean was recorded for the characters viz., fertile spikelets per panicle, total spikelets per panicle, panicle length, flag leaf length, flag leaf breadth, test weight, plant height and grain yield per plant indicated that these traits were under control of additive gene action. The selection could be practised in all these traits for higher magnitude of genotype. Similar result was reported by Devi *et al.* (2020) for test weight, Veludandi *et al.* (2017) for plant height, flag leaf length, spikelets per panicle.

Correlations studies:

Information on nature as well as magnitude of associations between various component characters and grain production can be obtained using correlation analysis. Also, it helps in understanding of how the individual component characteristics interact with one another. Ultimately, the breeder might use this kind of information to design his choice to increase grain yield. In **Table 3** the genotypic correlation coefficient between yield & its related characters in all possible comparisons were given. It is revealed a significant positive correlation among grain yield per plant and productive tillers per plant (0.2710), panicle length (0.2596), flag leaf breadth (0.3461), spikelet fertility (0.3793) and highly significant with test weight (0.6757). Days to maturity (0.0435), plant height (0.1657), and flag leaf length (0.0651) also showed non-significant positive correlation with grain yield per plant. When making selections to improve yield, it is recommended that these characters be given top priority. The results are conformity with Chandra *et al.* (2009) for number of productive tillers per plant, 1000 grain weight, panicle and Lingaiah *et al.* (2020) for test weight. Days to 50% flowering indicated positive and significant correlation with days to maturity (0.9819), plant height (0.3055) and productive tillers per plant (0.2898). The number of days to maturity has the significant positive correlation with plant height (0.2769), productive tillers per plant (0.3406). Plant height observed positive significant correlated with panicle length (0.5039), flag leaf length (0.5543), flag leaf breadth (0.3048) but non-significant correlation with productive tillers per plant (0.0730), fertile spikelets per panicle (0.0772), total spikelets per panicle (0.0547), test weight (0.0509) and grain yield per plant (0.1657). Number of productive tillers per plant observed the significant positive correlation with fertile spikelets per panicle (0.2735), total spikelets per panicle (0.2551), spikelet fertility % (0.2479) and grain yield per plant (0.2710) also non-significant correlation with infertile spikelets per panicle (0.0544). Panicle length showed significant positive correlation with flag leaf length (0.5660), flag leaf breadth (0.2527), test weight (0.2392) and grain yield per plant (0.2596) also non-significant correlation with spikelet fertility % (0.0781). Flag leaf length showed positive significant correlation only with flag leaf breadth (0.5239) and non-significant correlation with fertile spikelets per panicle (0.1063), infertile spikelets per panicle (0.0208), total spikelets per panicle (0.0991), spikelet fertility % (0.0700), test weight (0.0335) and grain yield per plant (0.0651). Flag leaf breadth showed positive significant correlation with grain yield per plant (0.3461) and followed by spikelet fertility % (0.2259) along with test weight (0.3033). Fertile spikelets per panicle showed highly positively significant correlation to total spikelets per panicle (0.9945). It also showed the significant correlation with spikelet fertility % (0.2604) and infertile spikelets per panicle (0.6488). Infertile spikelets per panicle

observed positive significant correlation with total spikelets per panicle (0.7249). Days to 50 per cent flowering had showed significant positive association with days to maturity. This result was in conformity with the earlier findings of Shahadat *et al.* (2018) and Saha *et al.* (2019).

Path analysis studies:

To determine the actual influence of each character on the yield, it is essential to divide the connection into direct and indirect impacts. Correlation and path analysis together may thus provide a better understanding of the causes and effect relationship among various character pairs. This will help in breeding programmes that simultaneously boost character and grain productivity. The path coefficient evaluation using genotypic correlation indicates the interrelationships between the characters, which are illustrated in **Table 4**. The character test weight (1.5760) observed highest direct positive effect on grain yield per plant and followed by total spikelets per panicle (0.9820), productive tillers per plant (0.5909), days to maturity (0.5186), flag leaf breadth (0.1290), infertile spikelets per panicle (0.0668), spikelet fertility % (0.0516), plant height (0.0075). Hence, direct selection for these characters will be beneficial for yield improvement programme. The characters days to 50% flowering (-0.5102), panicle length (-0.0163), flag leaf length (-0.0512) and fertile spikelets per panicle (-0.1613) observed negative direct effect on grain yield per plant. Gayathri and Padmalatha (2018) reported test weight (1000 grain weight) recorded maximum positive direct effect to yield. Saha *et al.* (2019) for total number spikelets or grain per panicle and fertile spikelets per panicle Shahadat *et al.* (2018) for infertile spikelets per panicle, plant height and days to 50% flowering reported similar findings. Days to 50% flowering showed negative correlation with grain yield (-0.0427) might due to negative indirect effect with days to maturity (-0.5009), plant height (-0.1559), productive tillers plant (-0.1478), fertile spikelets panicle (-0.0686) and infertile spikelets per panicle (-0.0078). Productive tillers per plant showed significant positive correlation (0.2710) with grain yield, it also had the significantly positive direct effect (0.5909) on grain yield. The character influences the yield positively indirect effect with days to 50% flowering (0.1713), days to maturity (0.2013), fertile spikelets per panicle (0.1616), infertile spikelets per panicle (0.0322), total spikelets per panicle (0.1508), spikelet fertility (0.1465). Flag leaf length indicated positive indirect effect on grain yield with days to 50 percent flowering (0.0013), days to maturity (0.0045), productive tillers per plant (0.0048). Flag leaf breadth had positive direct effect (0.1290) on grain yield. It also showed significant positive correlation with grain yield (0.3461), which was due to positive indirect effect through plant height (0.0393), panicle length (0.0326), flag leaf length

(0.0676), spikelet fertility (0.0292) and test weight (0.0391). Total spikelets per panicle had significant positive direct effect (0.9820) on grain yield per plant and indirect effect through days to 50% flowering (0.0150), plant height (0.0537), productive tillers per plant (0.2505), flag leaf length (0.0973), fertile spikelets per panicle (0.9766), infertile spikelets per panicle (0.7118), spikelet fertility (0.1562). It also observed that character had negative indirect effect like days to maturity (-0.0491), panicle length (-0.1123), flag leaf breadth (-0.1220) and test weight (-0.8442). Test weight had highest direct effect (1.5760) on grain yield per plant and also had positive significant correlation (0.6757) on grain yield. It had positive indirect effect through plant height (0.0803), panicle length (0.3770), flag leaf breadth (0.4780), spikelet fertility % (0.0620). The negative indirect effect through days to 50% flowering (-0.2176), days to maturity (-0.1232), productive tillers per plant (-0.5530), fertile spikelets per panicle (-1.3192), infertile spikelets per panicle (-1.1683), total spikelets per panicle (-1.3549).

The residual effect determines how well the causal factors account for the variability of the dependent factors, in this case, the grain yield. The residual effect in the current study was relatively low (0.1678), indicating that the characters selected were sufficient for explaining variability in rice grain yield. It is evident from the previous discussion that days to maturity, productive tillers per plant, total spikelets per panicle and test weight identified as important components of rice grain yield. Similar type of association were recorded by Saha *et al.* (2019) for number of grain per panicle and days to maturity and, Shahadat *et al.* (2018) for number grain per panicle and, Kalita *et al.* (2018) and Singh *et al.* (2018) for productive / effective tillers per plant and test weight.

Table 1. List of Rice genotypes studied

Sr.No.	Genotype	Sr.No.	Genotype
1	RTN 1409-1	21	RDN 20-10
2	RTN 2012-5	22	IGP 14-2
3	TKR 34	23	IGP 12-1
4	RTN 1410-1	24	VDN 1822
5	KJT-184	25	Indrayani
6	KJT 11012-8	26	RTN 15 M6-22
7	VDN 1902	27	IGP Local
8	IGP 13-11	28	Super Basmati 1
9	VDN 1930	29	KJT 5-4
10	Karjat 3	30	VDN 1832
11	KJT 7	31	RDN 20-03
12	RTN 14 01-4	32	Ratnagiri 5
13	KJT R-3	33	Phule Radha
14	SKL 09-30	34	Kundalika
15	VDN 1848	35	KJT TCR 39
16	Phule Samruddhi	36	PKV Ganesh
17	KJT 2	37	VDN 1942
18	RP 4-14	38	Ratnagiri-24
19	RTN 4	39	Karjat 5
20	RTN 1513-2	40	Palghar 1

UNDER PEER REVIEW




Table 2. Estimates of genetic variability parameters for 13 different characters.

Sr. No.	Name of the Character	Range	Mean	G.C.V. (%)	P.C.V. (%)	Heritability (h^2)(bs) %	Genetic advance (at 5% K)	GA as % of mean (at 5% K)
1.	Days to 50% flowering	80.50 – 110.00	99.21	8.094	8.198	97.48	16.333	16.462
2.	Days to maturity	107.50 – 144.00	129.03	7.449	7.519	98.13	19.614	15.200
3.	Plant height	59.50 – 104.50	79.77	12.797	13.797	86.03	19.506	24.451
4.	Productive tillers/plant	5.10 – 7.60	6.21	9.592	10.179	88.80	1.156	18.620
5.	Panicle length	17.00 – 30.60	22.63	11.614	12.061	92.73	5.213	23.038
6.	Flag leaf length	23.75 – 50.80	34.14	21.432	21.675	97.76	14.904	43.653
7.	Flag leaf breadth	1.25 – 2.85	1.79	18.195	18.561	96.10	0.658	36.743
8.	Fertile spikelets per panicle	135.00 – 237.50	177.03	15.795	16.470	91.97	55.244	31.205
9.	Infertile spikelets per panicle	16 – 32	23.33	18.195	19.770	84.70	8.051	34.496
10.	Total spikelets per panicle	154.50 – 265.50	200.37	15.415	15.980	93.05	61.378	30.632
11.	Spikelet fertility %	85.75 – 91.85	88.30	1.616	1.958	68.15	2.427	2.748
12.	Test weight (1000 grain weight)	12.70 – 29.85	20.84	23.837	24.259	96.55	10.059	48.248
13.	Grain yield / plant	16.30 – 27.45	21.27	13.913	16.113	74.56	5.264	24.748

Table 3. Genotypic correlation coefficients of 12 characters of 40 genotypes of rice on grain yield.

Sr. No.	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height	Productive tillers per plant	Panicle length	Flag leaf length	Flag leaf breadth	Fertile spikelets per panicle	Infertile spikelets per panicle	Total spikelets per panicle	Spikelet fertility %	Test weight	Grain yield per plant
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1.	1.000	0.9819**	0.3055**	0.2898**	0.0357	-0.0255	-0.1190	-0.0036	0.1345	0.0153	-0.1467	-0.1381	-0.0427
2.		1.000	0.2769*	0.3406**	0.0467	-0.0878	-0.1355	-0.0644	0.0603	-0.0500	-0.1127	-0.0782	0.0435
3.			1.000	0.0730	0.5039**	0.5543**	0.3048**	0.0772	-0.1108	0.0547	0.2016	0.0509	0.1657
4.				1.000	-0.0217	-0.0928	-0.1875	0.2735*	0.0544	0.2551*	0.2479*	-0.3509	0.2710*
5.					1.000	0.5660**	0.2527*	-0.1033	-0.1514	-0.1143	0.0781	0.2392*	0.2596*
6.						1.000	0.5239**	0.1063	0.0208	0.0991	0.0700	0.0355	0.0651
7.							1.000	-0.0977	-0.2603	-0.1242	0.2259*	0.3033**	0.3461**
8.								1.000	0.6488**	0.9945**	0.2604*	-0.8371	-0.3329
9.									1.000	0.7249**	-0.5581	-0.7413	-0.5614
10										1.000	0.1590	-0.8597	-0.3786
11											1.000	0.0393	0.3793**
12												1.000	0.6757**


*, ** Significant at 5(0.2199) and 1(0.2864) per cent respectively

Table 4. Direct (diagonal) and indirect (above and below diagonal) path effects of different characters towards grain yield at genotypic level in rice.

Sr. No.	Days to 50% flowering	Days to maturity	Plant height	Productive tillers per plant	Panicle length	Flag leaf length	Flag leaf breadth	Fertile spikelets per panicle	Infertile spikelets per panicle	Total spikelets per panicle	Spikelet fertility %	Test weight	Grain yield per plant
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1.	-0.5102	-0.5009	-0.1559	-0.1478	0.0130	0.0607	0.0018	-0.0686	-0.0078	0.0078	0.0749	0.0705	-0.0427
2.	0.5092**	0.5186**	0.1436	0.1766	0.0242	-0.0455	-0.0702	-0.0334	0.0313	-0.0259	-0.0584	-0.0406	0.0435
3.	0.0023	0.0021	0.0075	0.0005	0.0038	0.0042	0.0023	0.0006	-0.0008	0.0004	0.0015	0.0004	0.1657
4.	0.1713	0.2013	0.0431	0.5909**	-0.0128	-0.0549	-0.1108	0.1616	0.0322	0.1508	0.1465	-0.2073	0.2710*
5.	-0.0006	-0.0008	-0.0082	0.0004	-0.0163	-0.0092	-0.0041	0.0017	0.0025	0.0019	-0.0013	-0.0039	0.2596*
6.	0.0013	0.0045	-0.0284	0.0048	-0.0290	-0.0512	-0.0268	-0.0054	-0.0011	-0.0051	-0.0036	-0.0018	0.0651
7.	-0.0154	-0.0175	0.0393	-0.0242	0.0326	0.0676	0.1290	-0.0126	-0.0336	-0.0160	0.0292	0.0391	0.3461**
8.	0.0006	0.0104	-0.0125	-0.0441	0.0167	-0.0172	0.0158	-0.1613	-0.1047	-0.1605	-0.0420	0.1351	-0.3329
9.	0.0090	0.0040	-0.0074	0.0036	-0.0101	0.0014	-0.0174	0.0433	0.0668	0.0484	-0.0373	-0.0495	-0.5614
10.	0.0150	-0.0491	0.0537	0.2505*	-0.1123	0.0973	-0.1220	0.9766**	0.7118**	0.9820**	0.1562	-0.8442	-0.3786
11.	-0.0076	-0.0058	0.0104	0.0128	0.0040	0.0036	0.0117	0.0134	-0.0288	0.0082	0.0516	0.0020	0.3793**
12.	-0.2176	-0.1232	0.0803	-0.5530	0.3770	0.0560	0.4780	-1.3192	-1.1683	-1.3549	0.0620	1.5760**	0.6757**

(Residual effect = 0.1678) *, ** Significant at 5 and 1 per cent respectively

Fig 1. Genotypical path diagram for grain yield per plant in rice



References

- Chandra BS, Reddy TD, Ansari NA and Kumar SS. Correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Agric. Sci. Digest* 2009;29(1): 45-47.
- Devi KR, Venkanna V, Hari Y, Chandra BS, Lingaiah N and Prasad KR. Studies on genetic diversity and variability for yield and quality traits in promising germplasm lines in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Pharma Inn. J.* 2020; 9(1): 391-399.
- Dewey DR and Lu KH. A correlation and path analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. *Agronomy J.* 1959; 51: 515-518.
- Gayathri N and Padmalatha Y. Correlation and path analysis studies in medium duration rice varieties of Andhra Pradesh. *J. Nutri. and Health Sci.* 2018; 5: 2393-9060.
- Johnson HW, Robinson K and Comstock RE. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agronomy J.* 1955; 47: 314-318.
- Kalita J, Bairagi P, Kashyap G, Sarma MK, Baruah S and Sharma AA. Genetic variability and character association studies in indigenous ahu rice germplasm of Assam, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 2018; 7(6): 2297-2304.
- Lingaiah N, Satish Chandra B, Venkanna V, Rukmini Devi K and Hari Y. Genetic variability and correlation studies in yield traits of elite rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Genotypes. *Inter. J. Pure and App. Biosci.* 2020; 8(6): 359-363.
- Mythili V, Pradhan B, Dash M and Bastia D. Genetic variability studies in low land elite rice genotypes for yield and cellulose content. *J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochem.* 2018; 7(5): 1265-1270.
- Nagaraju C, Reddy SM, Reddy HK and Sudhakar P. Correlation between traits and path analysis coefficient for grain yield and other components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Int. J. App. Biology and Pharmaceutical Tech.* 2013; 4(3): 137-142.
- Panase VG and Sukhatme PV. *Statistical methods for agricultural workers.* ICAR, New Delhi. 1995.
- Robinson HF, Comstock RE and Harvey PH. Estimates of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. *Agron. J.* 1949; 41: 353-359.
- Saha SR, Lutful H, Haque MdA, Islam MM and Rasel. Md. Genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analyses of yield components in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.* 2019; 17(1): 26-32.
- Shahadat H, Salim S, Azam Md. and Noman S. Variability, correlation and path

analysis in drought tolerant rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Biosci. and Agri. Res. 2018; 18(02): 1521-1530.

Singh RK. and Chaudhary BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers 1977; 57-58.

Singh R, Yadav V, Mishra D and Yadav A. Correlation and Path Analysis Studies in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) J. Pharmacog. and Phytochem. 2018; 1: 2084-2090.

Sivasubramanian S, Madhavamenon P. Genotypic and phenotypic variability in rice. Madras Agricultural Journal 1973; 60:1093-1096.

Veludandi, S., Suresh, B. G., Jalandhar, R. and Srujana, G. Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for grain yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Pharmacog. and Phytochem. 2017; 6(4): 1437-1439.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

<u>Journal Name:</u>	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
<u>Manuscript Number:</u>	Ms JABB 128178
<u>Title of the Manuscript:</u>	Genetic variability, character association and path analysis studies in Rice Genotypes (Oryza sativa L.)
<u>Type of the Article</u>	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

UNDER PEER REVIEW

PART I: Comments

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's Feedback</u> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</u>	<u>The information on the nature and magnitude of genetic variability is very important to start any new crop improvement program.</u>	
<u>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</u>	<u>Yes</u>	
<u>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</u>	<u>No suggestions</u>	
<u>Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.</u>	<u>Yes it is correct</u>	
<u>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</u>	<u>Yes sufficient references were found</u>	

<u>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</u>	okay	
<u>Optional/General comments</u>		

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment</u> <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i>	
<u>Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?</u>	no	
<u>If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	nil	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer."

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)	
Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	Minor revision (>8-9)

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):

	Author's Feedback

Reviewer Details:

This section is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate.
Please complete this section carefully. Reviewer Certificate will be generated by using this information only.
Your Certificate will be wrong, if you provide incorrect information.
Please note modification of certificate will not be possible after generation.
Certificate will not be issued if incomplete information is provided.

Name of the Reviewer	Dr. Bineetkaur S. Singh	
Department of Reviewer	Pulses and castor research station	
University or Institution of Reviewer	Navsari agricultural university	
Country of Reviewer	India	
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Research scientist	
Email ID of Reviewer	Bineet775@gmail.com	
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer (Optional)	9375012642	
Write 5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	Genetics, pulses crop improvement, plant physiology, plant breeding	