

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127993
Title of the Manuscript:	Altitude-Dependent Growth and Yield Characteristics in Petunia (Petunia × hybrida Vilm.): A Comparative Study of Varietal Responses
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important not only for the reality of cultivation in the established regions but also in the economic aspect, the management of the crop and its maximum use for the benefit of farmers and the community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	If it is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Needs improvement, it will be carried out as required in the review of the paper.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	If they are correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some are recent, so the suggestions ask for current references (the last five years is better).	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	You need to improve your language, sometimes the writing is a bit confusing.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlos Vilcatoma Medina
Department, University & Country	National research institute (INIA), Peru