

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_128544
Title of the Manuscript:	The merit of urine cytology in identifying cytological abnormalities in women experiencing abnormal discharge
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is interesting. An attempt has been made to have another method for gynaecological testing of cervical lesions. More work is required on this topic	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript needs to be written in the form of paragraphs so as to convey things in a better manner	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are ok	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Images with legends required The topic is interesting. The work is good . The article would be more impressive if presented in paragraphs. Also few images would be preferred	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nandini N.M
Department, University & Country	JSSAHER, India