

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128818
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION: A GREEN APPROACH TO SOIL HEALTH
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think authors need to improve the manuscript because this manuscript does not provide enough information	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The authors need to add more information and graphs, pictures and tables	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors need to improve this manuscript, find more information, and find research gaps.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Navendra Uniyal
Department, University & Country	Doon Group of Institutions, India