

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_128081 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EVALUATION OF LIQUID NANO UREA FERTILIZER FOR ENHANCING YIELD, YIELD ATTRIBUTES AND ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE IN SUGARCANE PLANT-RATOON CYCLE |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Sugarcane is important cash crop in India and use of Nano fertilizers play great role in production and for getting maximum net returns. This manuscripts provides valuable information for scientific community for further research | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | It is appropriate | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Satisfactory, contains all information | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes, acceptable | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | good | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Article is acceptable after incorporating suggested comments/corrections PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Name: | Rajendra P. Maurya |
| Department, University & Country | Suresh Gyan Vihar University, India |