

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127976
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Soil Series and Potassium Levels on Quality Parameters of Sweet Corn in Soils of Konkan, Maharashtra (India)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As we know that Potassium is one of the major essential nutrient element which is involved in many physiological, biochemical functions of plant growth and yield. India is gifted with heterogeneous landforms and variety of climatic conditions like high altitude forests, peninsular plateaus, the lofty mountains, the riverine deltas etc. These varying environmental situations in the country have resulted in a greater variety of soils. So, there is need to understand the effect of these two on crop growth and development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable in terms of issue that have been founded in this research.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive, mention the soil type, season and year of experiment.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, based on the founding data in this research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References which are quoted those are not sufficient and need to add some more references. Out of the 12 references, only 2 are the recent (research results from the last 10 years; better if the last 5 years -optional). I suggest the author adds at least 5 or 6 more recent references relevant to this topic.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think it's already sufficient enough.	
Optional/General comments	In the introduction section author should need to add some more information regarding soil series and also about potassium. In material method, if possible mention the procedures of quality parameters in detail. Each quality parameters should be separated with sub headings that sounds better. Results and discussion: Discussion were not so clear so, need to add some additional reasons for the results with more number of references. Need to add separate discussion section which is missing in your manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manjunath Madhukar Mopagar
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, India