

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127890
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of wetting and Drying on Some Soil Properties Irrigation With Water of Different Salinity Concerti
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article will be of great importance to anyone who will want to research in areas of soil properties and irrigation particularly in Nineveh Governorate in Northern Iraq.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable but if there could be any change, I suggest 'Wetting and drying's effects on specific soil properties Using Water with Varying Salinities for Irrigation'.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good but I suggest the author should be mindful of case sensitivity in his/her work.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references aren't sufficient as I counted 17 references altogether in the work. But majority of them are recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is good.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author has done well and has putting in a lot of work to come up with this research. I suggest the author do a more detailed explanation next time in the discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ogbonna Tochukwu Loveday
Department, University & Country	Imo State University Owerri, Nigeria