

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_128840
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Next Pandemic? Antimicrobial Resistance and Its Societal Implications - A Comprehensive Review</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The current manuscript spots light on an important growing public health issue I think it deserves a great attention.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is good, but I prefer to substitute (;) instead of (?), to be: The Next Pandemic; Antimicrobial Resistance and Its Societal Implications - A Comprehensive Review	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	it looks good.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it's scientific generally.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript was written in a scientific manner -I mentioned- but it has no a rigorous well-organized study body, and it lacks the systematic structure. Indeed, it looks like just a simple literature review section. The author should follow the right scientific way in designing and writing comprehensive integrative review articles. There are lots of such articles the author could have benefits to re-write and re-organize this manuscript according to the mentioned way.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ziyad Hazim Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Iraq