

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_129251
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Wheat Crop Evapotranspiration from Meteorological Dataset for Anand, India DELETE; using CROPWAT model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.Statistical measures such as number, range, mean, figures and percentage, were used and computed 2.The findings provide insight into the relationship between wheat water demand and climatic parameters, temporal fluctuations in ET _c , and effective irrigation planning techniques in the face of shifting weather patterns; relevant for climatic studies	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, but suggested as: Estimation of Wheat Crop Evapotranspiration from Meteorological Dataset for Anand, India (delete; using CROPWAT model) , explanations in the abstract is okay!	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes! Give One strong Suggestion/Recommendation On The Study in the last sentence of the abstract to make it more comprehensive)	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES, but source all the Tables as indicated/requested on manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes SUFFICIENT, BUT maybe improved by substituting (& and) on the reference list and Write All Journal Names In Italic Format/Character.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes! Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly international communications for a standard presentation	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salisu Audu Baba
Department, University & Country	College of Education, Nigeria