

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_128877
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Soil Texture on the Mineralization of Sulphur Nanoparticles under Incubation
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides critical insights into the role of soil texture in the transformation and bioavailability of sulphur nanoparticles. Understanding these dynamics is essential for optimizing the application of nanotechnology in agriculture, ensuring effective nutrient delivery, and minimizing potential environmental risks. This study bridges a significant knowledge gap in nanoparticle-soil interactions, offering valuable data for sustainable agricultural practices and soil fertility management. The findings could also guide future research and policy-making in the field of nanotechnology-driven agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is correct In introduction add the objectives of this study In materials and methods add the total number of cups mentioned in result and discussion. Mention figure 3 and 4 in their respective places, some spelling mistakes are available, review once	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Add discussion with recent references, and mention related to nanotechnology	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	Check the spelling mistakes	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sureka K
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India