

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_128400
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF SEASONAL AND ANNUAL VARIATIONS OF EQUATORIAL ELECTROJET AND COUNTER ELECTROJET STRENGTH AT ADDIS ABABA AND MBOUR DURING THE ASCENDING PHASE OF SOLAR CYCLE 24
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<p>The article by the author(s) is technical and aimed primarily to researchers in the field, but it may have an application practical application, especially for satellites.</p> <p>The paper has been produced with acceptable and clear and even the graphs, essential in their realization, are acceptable and explanatory of the phenomena described.</p> <p>The paper adds new data to researchers in the field and the authors could add, for completeness of the topic:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a hypothesis about the event studied to prevent it could be interpreted as an element of coincidence; - Make a check on the potential influence of variations of equatorial electrojet on geophysical events such as earthquakes or atmospheric phenomena. <p>The article should be accepted although the bibliography from the spelling point and in the spacing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valentino Straser
Department, University & Country	Belgium