

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_128400
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation Of Seasonal And Annual Variations Of Equatorial Electrojet And Counter Electrojet Strength At Addis Ababa And Mbour During The Ascending Phase Of Solar Cycle 24
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No ESTIMATION OF SEASONAL AND ANNUAL VARIATIONS OF EQUATORIAL ELECTROJET AND COUNTER ELECTROJET STRENGTH AT ADDIS ABABA AND MBOUR DURING THE ASCENDING AND MAXIMUM PHASE OF SOLAR CYCLE 24	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes 1.The seasonal and annual variation of the equatorial electrojet strength at Addis Ababa and Mbour during the ascending and maximum phase of solar cycle 24 has been investigated. 2.In this manuscript highest peaks are occurred in the maximum phase, so high light the word maximum phase	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes 1. http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/cgi-bin/kp-cgi , This link not open, give correct link. 2. Figure 1 and Table 1 data mismatch(MBO Langitude data) 3. Page no 8,1 st para last sentence data denotes AAE's EEJ data, mention it.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Enough	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments	If author accept to correct his/her mistakes mention above, accept this manuscript with this minor corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhaiya m s
Department, University & Country	Muslim Arts College, India

Review Form 3