

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_127270
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE, VITAMIN AND ANTINUTRITIONAL CONTENT OF FRESH AND ROASTED <i>Tympanotonus fuscatus</i> HARVESTED FROM BUNDU CREEK, RIVERS STATE.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	-	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add some keywords and modify abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	-	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. Add some latest references to make manuscript up to date.	
Optional/General comments	1. In the introduction part add some new references. 2. I have not checked the format of Journal. Follow the recommended style consistently in your referencing section as Journal Format style. 3. English language is poor need to polish it.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. M. Shakeel Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Ibn Sina National College for Medical Studies, Saudi Arabia