

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_128773
Title of the Manuscript:	Adsorption of the textile dye RED 6 on activated carbons derived from neem bark (<i>Azadirachta indica</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The adsorption of textile dye RED 6 on neem-derived activated carbons offers a dual benefit of mitigating environmental pollution and promoting sustainable practices, making it a topic of significant interest to the scientific and environmental communities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is acceptable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good, I suggest modifying the first line of it.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	the manuscript is correct scientifically	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	references are sufficient and recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language /English is somewhat suitable for scientific communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Risalah A. Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Southern Technical University, Iraq