

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_128743
Title of the Manuscript:	Targeted Drug Development for Breast Cancer Using Innovative Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs)
Type of the Article	Research review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Targeted drug development for breast cancer using innovative APIs improves treatment outcomes, reduces side effects, and enhances patient survival rates. It also advances breast cancer research, enabling personalized medicine and reducing healthcare costs. Ultimately, it accelerates drug development, fostering collaboration and driving progress in breast cancer treatment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for the article. It accurately reflects the content and focus of the study, which is to review recent trends in targeted drug development for breast cancer using innovative APIs.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-structured and concise, but a s minor suggestion for improvement is to specify the breast cancer subtypes. No major deletions are suggested, as the abstract provides a clear overview of the study's aim, methodology, results, and conclusions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The provided references (29 in total) are a good starting point for the study.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is formal and suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details

Name:	Kavitha S
Department, University & Country	The Apollo University, India