

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_127563
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the cultivation path of digital reading literacy of left-behind children under the collaborative education mechanism
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper deals with a very current problem of children and society as a whole. It can be said that this problem can be observed not only locally, within a single country, but also on a global level.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The cultivation path of digital reading skills of left-behind children under the collaborative education mechanism	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	/	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is difficult to separate the concepts of digital literacy and digital reading literacy in the paper. Therefore, instead of the term digital reading literacy, the term digital reading skills should be used.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vesna Stevanovic
Department, University & Country	The Academy of Applied Preschool Teaching and Health Studies, Serbia