

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_127765
Title of the Manuscript:	Right Aortic Arch with Left Subclavian Artery Atresia and Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction in a 43-Year-Old Male; First Case in Literature
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Interesting first report of possible association of this vascular anomaly with non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy. However, information is missing about cardiomyopathy characteristics (cRMN? Genetics?), and follow-up.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is insufficient. In my opinion, it needs to be amplified to at least 200 words.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper requires a major language revision.	
Optional/General comments	It would be interesting to add info about response to GDMT (e.g. follow-up TTE?). Was genetic test performed? Cardiac magnetic resonance? Was ICD considered?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marco Tomasino
Department, University & Country	Vall d'Hebron Hospital, Spain