

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_127708
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of the Nature and Impact of HIV and AIDS: Implications for Counselling Practice
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">RESEARCH PAPER REVIEW REPORT</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is well written with some scope for improvement. <i>This paper highlights the impact of HIV and AIDS on counseling, stressing empathy, cultural sensitivity, and holistic interventions to address global challenges and empower communities.</i> 2. The strength of the manuscript is it <i>original, evidence based, cited the references properly.</i> 3. There is scope for improvement which are discussed as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Objectives of the research is not mentioned clearly ii. Research methodology not defined clearly iii. Source and types of data is not mentioned clearly, if data is primary, need to attach the questionnaire in the appendix. If the data is secondary, need to provide the source. iv. Result analysis should be done under the title, "Result Analysis" or "Data Analysis" v. Summary of the research is not provided. vi. Discussion on the research should be provided. vii. Recommendations and future scope of the research is desirable. <p>Conclusion: - With improvement upon above points, this manuscript can be considered for the further process towards publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Shriram Kargaonkar</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>MAEER's MIT Arts Comm. and Sci. College, India</p>