

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128039
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of rationing rate on growth and survival of Sarotherodon melanotheron larvae reared in happas at Layo Experimental Aquaculture Station (Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides valuable information for culture in first stages of live of blackchin tilapia, <i>Sarotherodon melanotheron</i> Rüppell, 1852. The data on the analyzed physico-chemical parameters parameters : temperatures , dissolved oxygen and pH show an environmental picture of the situation for rearing condition, but a comparing this picture with the needs of the species cannot be seen in this paper (Campbell, D. (1987). <i>A review of the culture of Sarotherodon melanotheron in West Africa</i> . UN is a scientific paper work of reference.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Alternative titles: Effects of different rates on growth and survival of <i>Sarotherodon melanotheron</i> larve reared in happas at Layo Experimental Aquaculture Station (Côte d'Ivoire) The influence of feeding intensity on growth performance of of <i>Sarotherodon melanotheron</i> larvae reared in happas at Layo Experimental Aquaculture Station (Côte d'Ivoire)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive .	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The Introduction could be strengthened by better highlighting the research gap and clearly stating the significance of the study in relation to previous work in the field. The Materials and Methods section describes with sufficient detail the methods used, to allow others to replicate and build on the results obtained by the authors. Please insert the GPS coordinates or a map for the study area in this section. The Discussion section presents valuable insights, but it would benefit from further elaboration and a more thorough comparison with findings from other studies in the field. By incorporating comparisons to relevant research, the interpretation of your results can be strengthened, providing a clearer context and deeper analysis. This would help place your findings within the broader body of literature, highlighting both the alignment and differences with existing studies. A more comprehensive discussion of how your work contributes to current knowledge will enhance the overall impact and significance of the study	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Islam, M. A., Mely, S. S., Alam, M. S., & Rahman, M. H. (2023). Effect of Different Feeding Rates on Growth Performance and Survival Rate of Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i> L. 1758) Fingerlings Reared in Rectangular Hapas. <i>Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</i> , 25(3), 18-30. https://journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/article/view/664	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing style appears relatively consistent throughout the paper. The language is scholarly communications and technical, appropriate for scientific work . I did not identify any abrupt change in tone or complexity. A minor revision for terminology would be recommended.	
Optional/General comments	Please refrain from using the same keywords that have been used in the title. Use different words to enhance the retrievability of your work. For accurate information on fish species and to ensure proper credit is given to taxonomists and zoologists for their hard work, I kindly ask the authors to consult FishBase (https://www.fishbase.se) or other reliable databases. When a particular species is first mentioned in the manuscript, kindly use its complete name, like: blackchin tilapia, <i>Sarotherodon melanotheron</i> Rüppell, 1852	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stroe Maria Desimira
Department, University & Country	Institute of Research - Development for Aquatic Ecology, Fisheries and Aquaculture, Romania