

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_128674
Title of the Manuscript:	In Silico Molecular Docking Studies of the Phytochemicals from Azadirachta indica Stem Bark Against Alpha-Amylase for Management of Type 2 Diabetes.
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>The author has chosen a good species. However, merely GCMS analysis could not justify the objective of the paper. Type-2 diabetic is now a days a serious health issue and traditional medicines may plant crucial role in the treatment.</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>May change according to study result</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Author should add data of how many compounds identified and what are the classes of the identified compound.</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Need improvement especially literature part in both introduction and result. Author only given results without comparing the literature data.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Need improvement.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need improvement. As many places spelling mistakes have been noticed.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pankaj Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICFRE-Institute of Forest Biodiversity, India</b>