

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_128622
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Human Development Index (HDI) in Moderating the Relationship Between Economic Growth, Poverty, and Unemployment on Income Inequality in North Sumatra
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it provides a comprehensive analysis of the determinants of income inequality in North Sumatra, highlighting the significant impact of poverty, unemployment, and HDI on income disparities. The use of rigorous panel data regression methods ensures the robustness of the findings, which can inform policymakers in designing targeted interventions to reduce inequality. Furthermore, the study's recommendations for improving HDI through education, healthcare, and social welfare are vital for promoting inclusive and sustainable economic development. By providing more light on these issues, this research contributes to the broader discourse on economic equity and social justice.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Indeed, the article's title is appropriate since it succinctly sums up the study and is consistent with the main factors and conclusions covered in the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and well-structured. It effectively outlines the study's objectives, methodology, data sources, key findings, and recommendations.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound and well-structured.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references include a mix of classic and recent sources, which is great for providing a solid theoretical foundation and up-to-date research.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the manuscript are generally suitable for scholarly communications. The text is clear, well-structured, and free from major grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Todowede, Babatunde Joel
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University, Nigeria