

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSE_128449
Title of the Manuscript:	Preserving Language Heritage for Socio-economic Survival? The Case of Indigenous Vedda Community in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Preserving Language Heritage for Socio-economic Survival? The Case of Indigenous Vedda Community in Sri Lanka contributes to the growing body of researches in Vedda language and cultural preservation. The contributions of the paper has implications on the socio-economic relevance of language in every society for sustainable development. Its focus on Vedda bares the uniqueness of language shift and the struggles of maintenance in a modernized society. These make this article an invaluable piece for the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Although the title of the work may suffice, you may consider a more precise rephrase. For example, "Preserving the Indigenous Vedda Language Heritage for Socio-economic Survival"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	A major flaw in the abstract is the omission of its methodology and theoretical framework	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is well organised with clear headings and paragraphs. Technically, the manuscript is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There is sufficient and recent references to support the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A thorough proofread is necessary to eliminate linguistic errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nwankwo, Onyinye Anne
Department, University & Country	Alex Ekwueme, Federal University Ndufu-Alike, Nigeria