

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_128449
Title of the Manuscript:	Preserving Language Heritage for Socio-economic Survival? The Case of Indigenous Vedda Community in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Case Study of Vedda Language Speakers

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research explores the decline of Vedda language in speaking community in the Sri Lanka region with growing influence of Sinhalese language. The exploration is done by conducting a systematic questionnaire survey. It compares the speaking, reading and writing abilities concerning the Sinhalese with that of Vedda language. It emphasizes that for the protection of opportunities that are cultural, social and economic, preservation of Vedda language is necessary.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No suggestions.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. It is.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No suggestions.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. One suggestion: The word 'pathetic' in "What is pathetic in terms of the future of the Vedda community..." may be replaced with other synonymous word such as alarming, dreadful and so on.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	No comments.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jayashree Aanand Gajjam
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, India