

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128678
Title of the Manuscript:	“Modeling and forecasting of potato production in Rajasthan and their yield sustainability”
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses food security and agricultural sustainability using time series models. Its findings will benefit policymakers, researchers, and farmers in understanding production trends and planning accordingly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Needs to be concise.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Recommendations provided to streamline results and clarify significance.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Methodology is sound, but clarity on sustainability indices is needed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient but suggested adding studies on machine learning applications for agricultural forecasting.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	needs minor revisions for grammar and readability	
Optional/General comments	Improved visual representation and a stronger conclusion are recommended.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Danish Iqbal
Department, University & Country	Hohai University, China