

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128553
Title of the Manuscript:	The Cultivation of Chamomile (<i>Matricaria chamomilla</i> L.) in India: Insights into Origin, Distribution, and Germplasm Availability for Effective Farming Practices
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Any study on the herbs and its totally will be beneficial for the scientific communities. It seeks to promote the expansion of chamomile cultivation in the South Indian region and enhance its effective and safe utilization as a "potential medicinal herb," raising awareness of the benefits of plant-based medicine.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Need to improve more.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to check the plagiarism.	
Optional/General comments	<p>After thorough observation, it was found that the present work has a potential scope in a particular field of study. Some changes/rectifications are needed to include within the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check the 1st line of abstract and correct it. 2. What were the reasons for including other two species under introduction. Justify it. 3. Wite full name-Global information research instead of Global Info Research. 4. Under-Nutraceutical application, citation is required for the 5th and 6th sentences. 5. Include the world Map (Figure no) and highlight the plant distribution by country wise. 6. Under description section, provide the whole plant (figure no) and its different parts specification) 7. Instead of Biochemistry, Phytochemistry section can be include and provide all the phytochemicals with structures present in the oil in the tabular format. 8. Citation is required for land preparation. 9. Uniformity is needed for the citation eg, Singh et al (20011), or (Pirzada et al 2011), or Kovacik et al 2007. Follow any one above citation format within the paragraph. 10. Methods of plant protection need to be included under protection. 11. Check the plagiarism and submit the report not less than 10% along with the revised paper. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sonam Bhutia
Department, University & Country	Sikkim University, India