

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128071
Title of the Manuscript:	From Policy to Practice: How Beneficiary Farmers Utilize PM-KISAN Funds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for both researchers and policymakers as it offers valuable insights into how funds under the PM-KISAN scheme, a major cash transfer program in India, are being used. It highlights how farmers choose between agricultural and non-agricultural expenses and points out the limitations of the current fund disbursement system. The study also provides policy suggestions to improve the timing of fund distribution, which could help make agricultural support programs more effective. Overall, it helps us understand how direct benefit transfer schemes can support economic stability and productivity for small and marginal farmers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and clearly reflects the study's focus on the PM-KISAN scheme and its practical implications	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract effectively summarizes the study's objective, methodology, key findings, and policy recommendations. It is concise and well-structured.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound. The methodology is robust, employing an appropriate multistage sampling technique, and the statistical analysis aligns with the research objectives.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate, with a mix of recent and foundational studies. However, including more recent studies (post-2021) on the impact of cash transfer schemes in India would strengthen the review.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and accessible, with appropriate use of technical terms. Suggestions: Simplify sections with dense statistical data by integrating visual aids (e.g., charts or graphs).	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhagirath Das
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Assam, India