

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127954
Title of the Manuscript:	ITEM WISE ANALYSIS OF KNOWLEDGE LEVEL AMONG RICE FARMERS TOWARDS MECHANIZATION IN RICE CULTIVATION
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nothing is important from this article. No Scientific evidence at all.  <b>TO IMPROVE THIS PAPER, DO THE FOLLOWING;</b> 1. Work on the introduction from global to local level with scientific evidence. Cite per full stop. 2. Show how your study is relevant to SDGs, UN agenda, Eu, African agender, etc. 3. Come up with a clear methodology, 4. Results sections with APA graphs and figures, 5. Discussion section with authors who found similar work and those who fund different findings and make an argument, 6. Conclusion per objectives, 7. Policy recommendations and areas for further study 8. REFERENCES USING MENDELEY 9. DOIs per citation	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	NO	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	NO	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	NO	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NO	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>NOT SUITABLE TO BE PUBLISHED</b>  <b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Turyasingura Benson
Department, University & Country	The Institute of Policy Analysis and Research (IPAR-Rwanda), Rwanda