

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127954
Title of the Manuscript:	ITEM WISE ANALYSIS OF KNOWLEDGE LEVEL AMONG RICE FARMERS TOWARDS MECHANIZATION IN RICE CULTIVATION
Type of the Article	Agricultural development

#### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In term of scientific community, this manuscript can provide ideas or evidence to the readers. Furthermore, studies within the scientific community can offer new ideas, especially in terms of research methodology. The primary data can also provide accurate data and not be fraudulent. Additionally, it can motivate researchers in investigating issues, objective, and obtaining research results.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggestion of title: Rice Farmers' Knowledge Level Regarding Mechanisation in Rice Cultivation: An Item Wise Analysis.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>Moderate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Make the sentence more coherent</li> <li>- Example article/journal style</li> </ul> <p>a) Objective of study b) Methodology – data, study area, data analysis method d) Results e) Recommendations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Need to make improvements to academic sentences</li> </ul> <p>a) The data were collected change to the primary data were collected using (what the sampling used ex: stratified random/simple random sampling)? b) Suitable statistical tools change to descriptive statistics method such as frequency, central tendency and variation)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Proofreading an abstract</li> </ul>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>Moderate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Yes, but it needs to be improved further.</li> </ul> <p>a) Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Make the sentences more coherent</li> <li>- Write clear, succinct manner.</li> </ul> <p>b) Literature review</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It is necessary to include a subtopic on the literature review</li> <li>- The literature review needs to be the most recent, at least within a period of five years</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 3**

	<p><b>c) Methodology</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Explain data and study area</li> <li>- Can state what the method used (quantitative or qualitative)?</li> <li>- Explain the statistically analysis</li> <li>- Explain the software used.</li> </ul> <p><b>d) Results</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Each research findings needs to be supported by or cited from previous studies. Do not use own ideas</li> </ul> <p><b>e) Recommendations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Should state why the recommendations is suggested.</li> <li>- Which agency/who can implement the recommendations.</li> <li>- Also, can put limitation and recommendations for future studies</li> </ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>Need to make improvements to the references. Obtain the latest references, specifically those within the last 5 years. Get current references from Google Scholar. Example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Journal of Agribusiness Rural Development</li> <li>- International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research.</li> <li>- Sustainability</li> <li>- Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development</li> <li>- Journal of Development Economics</li> </ul>	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Moderate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Using the correct English language terms</li> <li>- Proofreading your sentences</li> </ul>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is necessary to improve the structure of the article and make improvements to academic writing.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nurul Syafiza Che Nan
Department, University & Country	Universiti Kebangsaan, Malaysia