

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127954
Title of the Manuscript:	Item wise analysis of knowledge level among rice farmers towards mechanization in rice cultivation
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The knowledge level of mechanization of rice cultivation by farmers is important, hence the need for this research article to be published. The level of awareness on the use of the machinery and implements by rice farmers is important for critical future plan in the agricultural sector for a food secure world.  The manuscript is a good research findings and can be published if all the corrections were effected	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ANALYSIS OF KNOWLEDGE LEVEL IN MECHANIZATION OF RICE CULTIVATION AMONG FARMERS	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Your abstracts has no objective and results. Please include them. Your abstracts should include a little introduction, objective of the study, methodology, results, conclusion and recommendation  The objective of the study, and results needs to be included in the abstract,	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES, but reference needs to be included in all fact statements in all the write up from introduction to methodology and results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference is not sufficient, Reference are not cited but were listed and listed reference are not cited in the text Author needs to reference other research findings to substantiate his/her result.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes with little corrections	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript can be accepted for publication subject to effecting the corrections highlighted and inclusion of relevant details stated. SEE ATTACHMENT	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Aremu mariam bukola
Department, University & Country	Nigerian stored products research institute, Nigeria