

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_129148
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF NINETY-DAY REPEATED ADMINISTRATION OF LEAF EXTRACT OF Solanum anomalum ON RATS
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very important as it has shown with scientific evidence the neglected side of medicinal plants, while most researchers focus on showing therapeutic potential of must plants, this work highlights the needs to focus also on toxic side. Through this work, the scientific society will know the potential effects of admistration of the said plant under chronic conditions. This work will also show weather its safe to use the plant for 90 day period.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title greatly hints at the nature of the nature of work involved.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is very appropriate and well done.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the script is well within the guidelines of the science community. It shows the methods steps by step, citations etc. most importantly it also provides vivid evidence that his work was done through supporting materials provided at the end.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are current and in order.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, even a layman can clearly understand	
Optional/General comments	In the introduction, the author uses the word (Medicinal plants are use world), the word in red is a grammatical error, and it must be ' used '	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muzyamba Sidney
Department, University & Country	Mulungushi University, Zambia