

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_127832
Title of the Manuscript:	Petrography And Geochemistry Of Dolerite Dykes From Cretaceous Bamwa Half Grabben (North Cameroon, Central Africa)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Dolerites dyke formation is an integral feature of crustal evolution, closely interlinked with magmatic and deformational events, which have occurred since Archaean times.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes It is suitable for the Publication	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No suggestion on Abstract. Author suggested the aims and scope of his research paper	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically this research paper is corrected and in conclusion the Petrogenic history suggested geochemically fingerprinted such that swarms of different ages can be distinguished and their chemistry reflects the composition of sub-continental lithosphere rather than the effects of crustal contamination.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, References is sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications is good but some punctuation mistakes and spelling mistake in this research paper	
Optional/General comments	Overall this research Paper is good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandrakant P. Dorlikar
Department, University & Country	College of Science Armori District Gadchiroli Maharashtra, Gondwana University, India