

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_128202
Title of the Manuscript:	Development Of A Palm Oil Clarifier Unit For Small Scale Palm Oil Processors
Type of the Article:	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1.In the main, its exploration on the design, creation, and implementation of a device aimed at improving the efficiency and quality of palm oil production for small-scale producers is commendable. 2.Its attempt at the development of a clarifier unit to address perennial challenges - making the processing more efficient and sustainable is technologically timely 3.Other benefits of this unit are the Enhancement of income for stakeholders;reduction of labour requirements and waste for optimal use; improvement of the quality of palm oil to meet market standards etc 4.The paper is valuable for stakeholders in rural areas, agricultural engineering, small scale processing industries and those focused on sustainable development in palm oil production.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, and technologically appropriate	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The article is publishable. However, emphasis should be laid on further research on the technology, maintenance, and training.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Aniefiok Titus
Department, University & Country	Federal University Otuoke, Nigeria