

Original Research Article

Nutrient management through inorganic fertilizers and bio-stimulants in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.)

ABSTRACT

Aims: The field experiment was conducted to find out the effect of fertilizer levels and bio-stimulants on growth, yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.).

Study design: This experiment was laid out in strip plot design comprising three fertilizer levels in horizontal strips and five bio-stimulants in vertical strips replicated thrice.

Place and Duration of Study: The field trial was carried out at the Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India during *Rabi* 2023-24.

Methodology: The treatments consist of three horizontal strips of fertilizer levels *viz.*, 80% RDF (F₁), 100% RDF (F₂), 120% RDF (F₃) and five vertical strips of bio-stimulants *viz.*, 0.5% humic acid (B₁), 0.5% chitosan (B₂), 3% vermiwash (B₃), 1% Konkan kalp (B₄), 0.1% triacontanol (B₅). The seeds of mustard var. Varuna were sown at the spacing of 30 cm × 10 cm. The recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) used for the crop was 90:45:00 NPK kg ha⁻¹. Foliar application of bio-stimulants were carried out at 20, 40 and 60 days after sowing (DAS).

Results: Among the fertilizer levels, application of 120% RDF (F₃) recorded significantly higher growth, yield attributes and yield of mustard as well as it shows higher net returns and benefit: cost ratio. The growth parameters, yield attributes and yield of mustard were found significantly higher with the foliar application of 0.5% humic acid (B₁) and it remained at par with the treatment foliar sprays 0.5% chitosan (B₂). The net returns and benefit: cost ratio was also found higher with the treatment of 0.5% humic acid (B₁).

Conclusion: Application of 120% RDF along with foliar application 0.5% humic acid or 0.5% chitosan might improve the yield and economic returns in mustard.

Keywords: Fertilizer levels; Bio-stimulants; Mustard; Growth; Yield; Quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) is one of the major oilseed crop in India and has been cultivated since ancient times. India is the third largest Rapeseed-Mustard producer in the world. It is second most important edible oilseeds after groundnut which sharing 27.8% in oilseed economy of India. Mustard is cool season crop and follows C₃ pathway, grow well under low temperature and average (day and night) temperature of 25°C is required at the time of sowing for optimum germination. Oil content in mustard varies from 37-49%, carbohydrate 14-15%, protein 25-30%, fibre 10-12%, minerals and vitamins 1-1.5%, glucosinolate 2-3%. Mustard oil contains about 40-60% of erucic acid, linolenic acid 4.5 to 13% and oleic acid 25-30%. In Maharashtra, 0.13 lakh hectare area is under cultivation of mustard with 0.05 lakh tonnes of production and 340 kg ha⁻¹ of productivity (Anonymous, 2024c).

Nutrient management under this crop is key factor for maximizing yields and thereby high returns. The rescheduling of NPK is an effective nutrient management technology for increasing the productivity and nutrient use efficiency of mustard. It is not only for obtaining higher yield but also a cost-effective nutrient management technique for farmers of the Konkan region. The rescheduling of NPK doses to the crops is an effective nutrient management technology for increasing productivity and nutrient use efficiency of the crop. The existing fertilizer recommendations for major nutrients are proving to be sub-optimal for attaining higher productivity levels and need a fresh look to revise them to optimum and more balanced levels considering yield, soil health and economics by using bio-stimulants.

Nutrient management should be aimed at achieving maximum production and economic returns. The bio-stimulants have great potential, its application and accrual assessment etc., have to be judiciously planned in terms of optimal concentration, stage of application and season (Hanchinamath, 2005). Nutrients also have important role in plant metabolism, growth and developmental processes and helps in increasing the biomass production and yield. The liquid bio-stimulants may offer a powerful and ecofriendly approach of nutrients management along with inorganic fertilizers. In this context, a field experiment was conducted to study the effect of fertilizer levels and foliar application of bio-stimulants on growth, yield and economics of mustard under lateritic soils of Konkan region.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental site

The field experiment was carried out at the Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli, Ratnagiri, Maharashtra, India during *Rabi* 2023-24. Geographically, the site of experiment is situated at 17.10° North latitude and 73.10° East longitude having elevation of 250 m above the mean sea level. The topography of the experimental plot was uniform and suitable for cultivation of mustard. The experimental plot was sandy clay loam in texture, low in available nitrogen (218.35 kg ha⁻¹) and phosphorus (9.40 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available potassium (275.35 kg ha⁻¹), very high in organic carbon (11.18 g kg⁻¹) and acidic in reaction (pH 5.74).

2.2 Treatment details

The experiment was laid out in strip plot design allocating fertilizer levels in horizontal strips viz., 80% RDF (F₁), 100% RDF (F₂), 120% RDF (F₃) and bio-stimulants in vertical strips viz., 0.5% humic acid (B₁), 0.5% chitosan (B₂), 3% vermiwash (B₃), 1% Konkan kalp (B₄), 0.1% triacontanol (B₅). The mustard variety Varuna (T-59) was used for the experimentation. Seed rate adopted was 4 kg ha⁻¹. The seeds are sown at a spacing of 30 cm × 10 cm. Thinning operation was carried out at 12 days after sowing. The recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) for mustard is 90 kg N and 45 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. The half the dose of nitrogen was applied as basal dose in the form of urea (46% N) and full dose of P₂O₅ was applied through single super phosphate (16% P₂O₅) as per the treatments. Remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied 30 days after sowing according to treatments. The quantities of chemical fertilizers applied per hectare at different stages as per the fertilizer level treatments are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Amount of inorganic fertilizers applied as per the treatments for mustard (ha⁻¹)

Fertilizer levels	Urea (kg ha ⁻¹)			SSP (kg ha ⁻¹)
	50% (Basal)	50% (30 DAS)	Total	
F ₁ : (80% RDF)	78	78	156	225
F ₂ : (100% RDF)	98	98	196	281
F ₃ : (120% RDF)	117	117	234	338

The plant bio-stimulants were applied at 20, 40 and 60 days after sowing (DAS) in mustard. The spraying was done using knapsack sprayer of 10 litre capacity and water used for spraying was clean and salt free. The foliar application of bio-stimulants was carried out with their respective concentration as mentioned in the treatments. The periodical observations on growth were recorded at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest. The observations on yield attributes were

recorded at the time of harvest. The grain and straw yield were recorded from the net plot and converted into the hectare basis.

2.3 Statistical analysis

Experimental data were analyzed statistically by applying techniques of analysis of variance as applicable in strip plot design. The significance of the treatment difference was tested by table value of F at 0.05 level of probability and critical difference was calculated where ever the effects were significant for comparison and statistical interpretation of significance between treatments mean (Panse and Sukhatme, 1967).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of fertilizer levels

Data presented in Table 2 clearly indicated that the growth parameters of mustard was noticeably influenced due to the application of different levels of fertilizers. The growth parameters of mustard *viz.*, plant height, number of branches plant⁻¹ and dry matter plant⁻¹ were found significantly higher with the higher level of fertilizer (F₃) which was found significantly superior over rest of the treatments throughout the growing period. Greater plant height and number of branches plant⁻¹ may be due to receiving balanced fertilization which led to better metabolic activities carried out by mustard at optimum fertilizer level (Basumotary *et al.*, 2020). The increase in plant height and number of branches plant⁻¹ might be the reason for increased dry matter production in mustard. Similar results were reported by Gupta *et al.* (2019) and Kumar *et al.* (2022).

Similarly, application of 120% RDF through inorganic fertilizer recorded significantly higher values of yield attributes *viz.*, number of siliquae plant⁻¹, weight of siliquae plant⁻¹, length of siliqua, number of seeds siliqua⁻¹ as well as seed (1483.94 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield (3333.45 kg ha⁻¹) of mustard (Table 3) and it was found significantly superior over rest of the fertilizer levels. This might be due to the fact that higher doses of fertilizers might have improved leaf area and have enhanced the production of photosynthates and their translocation to sink which resulted into the better yield attributes led to increase the yield of mustard (Kumar *et al.*, 2022). The results are in accordance with the findings of Chauhan *et al.* (2020) and Sharma *et al.* (2020).

Data from Table 3 indicated that the application of 120% RDF (F₃) fetched higher net returns (₹ 25739 ha⁻¹) and benefit: cost ratio (1.41). This might be due to the increased productivity resulted in increased net returns. Similar results were obtained by Dhruw *et al.* (2018).

3.2 Effect of bio-stimulants

Foliar application of various bio-stimulant significantly influenced different growth attributes during the growing of mustard (Table 2). Foliar application of 0.5% humic acid produced taller plants, increased number of branches plant⁻¹ and dry matter production plant⁻¹ which was found statistically identical with the treatment of 0.5% chitosan at all stages of growth in mustard. It is known that the bio-stimulants are the sources of micro and macronutrients. These nutrients are quickly absorbed by plants when applied to foliage as a foliar spray. Macronutrients like N, P and K are associated with different plant processes *viz.*, cell enlargement, translocation of solutes, formation of carbohydrates, etc. It is associated with the increase in plant height and number of branches which led to increased dry matter production in mustard. These finding were found consistent with those of Barekati *et al.* (2019) and Guddhe *et al.* (2019).

According to data presented in Table 3, the foliar sprays of various bio-stimulants significantly improved the yield attributes and yield of mustard. The yield attributes *viz.*, number of siliquae plant⁻¹, weight of siliquae plant⁻¹, length of siliqua, number of seeds siliqua⁻¹ as well as seed (1473.36 kg ha⁻¹) and stover yield (3357.17 kg ha⁻¹) of mustard was found significantly higher with the foliar application of 0.5% humic acid (B₁) and it was found at par with the treatment 0.5% chitosan (B₂) as compared to rest of the treatments. This might be due to the fact that bio-stimulants causes increase in photosynthesis activity therefore, more flowers will be formed in mustard plant which is effective on formation of fertile siliquae and seed production. The results are in close conformity with those of Amiri *et al.* (2019) and Barekati *et al.* (2019).

With respect to economic returns (Table 3), foliar application of 0.5% humic acid incurred higher net returns (₹ 26759 ha⁻¹) and benefit: cost ratio (1.43) followed by foliar sprays of 0.5% chitosan. This might be due to the higher yield of mustard thus, produced maximum economics. Similar result was reported by Guddhe *et al.* (2019).

3.3 Interaction effect

The dry matter plant⁻¹, seed and stover yield of mustard was significantly influenced due to combined application of fertilizers along with foliar sprays of bio-stimulants (Table 4). The application of 120% RDF + foliar sprays of 0.5% humic acid (F₃B₁) recorded significantly higher dry matter plant⁻¹, seed and stover yield of mustard which remained at par with the treatment combination of 120% RDF + foliar sprays of 0.5% humic acid (F₃B₂) with respect to dry matter plant⁻¹ and seed yield of mustard. The results are in concordance with the findings reported by Szczepanek *et al.* (2017) and Harshitha *et al.* (2021).

4. CONCLUSION

Thus, it can be concluded that the application of 120% RDF along with foliar sprays of 0.5% humic acid and/or 0.5% chitosan at 20, 40 and 60 DAS might increase the yield and economic returns in mustard.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) have declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text to image generators have been used during writing and editing of this manuscript.

Table 3. Yield attributes, yield and economics of mustard as influenced periodically due to different treatments

Treatments	Number of siliquae plant ⁻¹	Weight of siliquae plant ⁻¹ (g)	Length of siliqua (cm)	Number of seeds siliqua ⁻¹	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stover yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Net return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	B: C ratio
Horizontal strips: Fertilizer levels (F)								
F ₁ : 80% RDF	169.31	15.53	4.22	12.11	1313.77	3077.97	19296	1.32
F ₂ : 100% RDF	186.45	16.05	4.44	12.27	1357.72	3110.50	20433	1.33
F ₃ : 120% RDF	221.89	17.46	4.89	13.60	1483.94	3333.45	25739	1.41
S.Em.±	5.40	0.13	0.06	0.30	12.00	18.19	-	-
C.D. at 5%	21.22	0.53	0.25	1.19	47.10	71.41	-	-
Vertical strips: Bio-stimulants (B)								
B ₁ : Humic Acid 0.5%	227.92	17.28	4.97	13.47	1473.36	3357.17	26760	1.43
B ₂ : Chitosan 0.5%	212.09	17.06	4.77	13.33	1443.75	3292.96	24717	1.40
B ₃ : Vermiwash 3%	188.91	16.54	4.50	12.73	1399.67	3187.72	22666	1.37
B ₄ : Konkan kalp 1%	172.42	16.00	4.36	12.33	1353.70	3084.44	18786	1.30
B ₅ : Triacantanol 0.1%	161.42	14.83	3.99	11.44	1255.26	2947.58	16183	1.27
S.Em.±	6.23	0.13	0.10	0.20	10.21	29.93	-	-
C.D. at 5%	20.31	0.43	0.34	0.66	33.31	97.60	-	-
Interaction (FxB)								
S.Em.±	13.72	0.21	0.19	0.48	14.60	35.33	-	-
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	43.78	105.93	-	-

Table 4 Interaction effect between fertilizer levels and bio-stimulants on dry matter plant⁻¹ at harvest, seed and stover yield of mustard

Vertical strips- Bio-stimulants (B)	Horizontal strips: Fertilizer levels (F)								
	Dry matter plant ⁻¹ at harvest			Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)			Stover yield (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃	F ₁	F ₂	F ₃
B1	40.58	41.15	45.69	1388.65	1448.86	1582.56	3264.66	3332.87	3473.99
B2	37.65	37.41	45.05	1362.45	1429.83	1538.96	3249.13	3273.85	3355.90
B3	33.86	37.26	40.81	1359.02	1363.71	1476.28	3099.10	3119.85	3344.21
B4	32.27	34.22	39.77	1287.85	1345.93	1427.31	2985.57	3012.01	3255.73
B5	29.53	33.13	23.56	1170.88	1200.28	1394.61	2791.40	2813.91	3237.42
S.Em.±		1.28			14.60			35.33	
C.D. at 5%		3.83			43.78			105.93	

REFERENCES

- Amiri, M., Shirani Rad, A. H., Valadabadi, A., Sayfzadeh, S. & Zakerin, H. (2019). Response of rapeseed fatty acid composition to foliar application of humic acid under different plant densities. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 65(6), 303-308.
- Anonymous. (2024). Selected state-wise area, production and productivity of mustard. IndiaStat Agri. <https://www.indiastatagri.com/table/agriculture/selected-state-wise-area-production-productivity-r/1457012>.
- Barekati, F., Majidi Hervan, E., Shirani Rad, A. H. & Noor Mohamadi, G. (2019). Effect of sowing date and humic acid foliar application on yield and yield components of canola cultivars. *Tarim Bilimleri Dergisi-Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 25, 70-78.
- Basumotary, A., Ojha, N. J. & Begum, M. (2020). Growth and yield of rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* var. toria) influenced by NPK and sulphur under rainfed condition. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, 11(2), 121-125.
- Chauhan, Z. Y., Patel, D. K. & Bhabhor, K. (2020). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorous and sulphur on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility after harvest of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(6), 3506-3512.
- Dhruw, S. S., Swaroop, N., Upadhyay, Y., Markam, A. & Verma, P. D. (2018). Effects of different levels of NPK and sulphur on mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Cv. Varuna, economics analysis. *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies*, 5(1), 237-239.
- Guddhe, V. A., Deotale, R. D., Korade, S. B., Thakare, O. G., Dhongade, A. P. & Jadhav, N. D. (2019). Effect of foliar application of humic acid through vermicompost wash and NAA on morpho-physiological and yield of sesamum. *Journal of Soils and Crops*, 29(1), 105-111.
- Gupta, S., Sharma, P. K., Kumar, S., Sharma, S., Singh, P. & Parashar, A. (2019). Study of chemical properties and growth parameters of Indian mustard [(*Brassica juncea* L.) Czern and Coss.] influenced by application of herbicides and fertilizers. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7(3), 3804-3807.
- Hanchinamath, P. V. (2005). Effect of plant growth regulators, organics and nutrients on growth physiology and yield in clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.). M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.
- Harshitha, G., Rao, C. B. B., Ram Prakash, T. & Hussain, S. A. (2021). Effect of hormones on yield and economics of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) under southern Telangana Agro-climatic conditions. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 11(7), 89-94.
- Kumar, P., Kumar, S., Hooda, V. S., Avtar, R., Kumar, S. & Malik, K. (2022). Influence of sowing window, irrigation and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of late sown Indian mustard. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, 13(1), 1-6.
- Panase, V. G. & Sukhatme, P. V. (1967). *Statistical methods for Agriculture workers*. Indian council of Agriculture, New Delhi.
- Sharma, S. R., Rawat, A., Sukla, R., Srivastava, P., Gupta, P. K. & Sahare, K. V. (2020). Effect of NPK in the management of Alternaria black spot disease severity, seed yield and oil content of mustard. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8(2), 507-509.
- Szczepanek, M., Wilczewski, E. & Siwik-Ziomek, A. (2017). Effect of a biostimulant on the accumulation of Mg in winter oilseed rape under different mineral fertilization doses. *Journal of Elementology*, 22(4), 1375-1385.