

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAMMR_129261  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Longitudinal Assessment and Comparison of Functional Mobility in Patients Undergoing Unilateral Knee Arthroplasty with Two Types of Prostheses Using the Timed Up and Go (TUG) Test: A Pilot and Preliminary Study |
| Type of the Article      | Research   |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It compared compared functional mobility of patients undergoing unilateral knee arthroplasty with two types of prostheses using the Timed Up and Go (TUG) test.<br><br>This study makes looks important as it makes a clear understanding about the two prostheses.<br><br>Patients can easily compare and opt for the better treatment option by the conclusions from this Study  |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)   | The title is perfect and needs no suggestions.<br>I've checked the other titles for the same and this title seems Rare and best for this study   |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Study design:</b> A prospective, observational, and comparative study with assessments conducted at two time points: <b>2 to 24 months after surgery.</b> (typed wrong <b>instead of 12 it was typed 2.</b> Kindly check)</li> <li><b>Future studies should include both subjective and objective evaluations for a more comprehensive understanding of functional recovery after knee arthroplasty.</b> (I feel this sentence should be removed from the ABSTRACT but can be discussed in conclusion part of the manuscript; as this sentence makes audience believe that this study need more research and they might skip )</li> <li><b>Keywords:</b> Gait Analysis; Postoperative Period; <b>Rehabilitation; Physical Functional Performance.</b> (Alphabetical order : add REHABILITATION in the last)</li> </ol> |   |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.  | Yes  |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.                              | Yes, the references cited are from recent  |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  | Yes, the quality of English used is appropriate.   |   |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments   | REST EVERTHING LOOKS PERFECT   |   |

**PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|--|
| <u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u> | <u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Mahesh Gomasa                       |
| Department, University & Country | Asian Health Care Foundation, India |