

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129348
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Fusarium spp associated with Solanaceous seeds in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled "Characterization of <i>Fusarium</i> spp. Associated with Solanaceous Seeds in Burkina Faso" addresses a crucial research topic. The authors explore the significant biotic challenges faced by Solanaceous crops, particularly infections caused by the <i>Fusarium</i> genus, which are responsible for severe diseases and yield losses of up to 80%. The study is well-structured and demonstrates robust scientific rigor.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Morphological and Molecular Characterization of <i>Fusarium</i> spp associated with Solanaceous seeds in Burkina Faso.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is ok.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically the present investigation is correct and having practical importance with a huge national importance to safe guard Agricultural crops in Burkina Faso.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok, In discussion part author can incorporate few recent references related to the molecular characterization and management aspects to strengthen their result with already available studies. Improvement is needed in the discussion part.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prasenjit Debnath
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Central Institute for Sub tropical Horticulture, India