

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129348
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Fusarium spp associated with Solanaceous seeds in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is regarding Characterization of <i>Fusarium</i> spp associated with Solanaceous seeds in Burkina Faso. The manuscript is quite interesting and provides information about fusarium fungus. Solanaceae being one of the most important family for vegetable crops this research is significant for scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. the title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Few points that the author should consider changing: 1. The alignment of the whole manuscript is off. The subtopic titles should be on the left side instead of right. Also diagrams and tables should be centre aligned. 2. Please consider some changes in the introduction as the introduction could be more engaging to immediately capture the reader's attention. 3. In results and discussion the title " <u>Fungal identification on ieeds from both origins analyzed</u> " please write seeds and clarify the title	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aishmita Gantait
Department, University & Country	Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Science and Technology, India