

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128408
Title of the Manuscript:	Diallel crosses of tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.) – Analysis of agronomic traits to improve elites varieties in Burkina Faso.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors wrote that High genotypic variability among the genotypes is highlighted in their study. Vegetative parameters are good indicators of genotypes ability to adapt to their environment. Thick stems are better equipped to transport necessary nutrients and water to leaves and fruit, resulting in improved overall growth and better production. It also helps plants to resist at disease infection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Nice abstract .no need to addition or deletion	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient and old. Put some recent references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments	IN TABLE 3 ,4 AND 5 ,6,7 8.	
	FULL FORM OF	
	ddf HP PSD RAM DMAT TNFP YIELD MFW	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgesh ranjan kar
Department, University & Country	BCDA College of Pharmacy & Technology, India