

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_128991
Title of the Manuscript:	Acceptability of Positive Deviance Mentorship among exposed HIV clients living with diabetes in Eastern Uganda A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical public health challenge by evaluating the acceptability of Positive Deviance Mentorship (PDM) in improving diabetes knowledge and glycemic control among HIV clients in Eastern Uganda. The findings hold significant potential for guiding interventions in resource-constrained settings, enhancing patient outcomes, and contributing to the broader literature on integrated care for chronic conditions. By focusing on approach to mentorship, this study provides actionable insights that can inform policy and program design.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is clear and informative. However, a refined version such as "Acceptability of Positive Deviance Mentorship for Diabetes Management among HIV Clients in Eastern Uganda: A Cross-Sectional Study" may improve clarity and highlight the diabetes management focus.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the study's aim, methods, results, and conclusions. However, the following suggestions could improve it: Include a specific mention of key metrics (e.g., mean scores for satisfaction or perceived effectiveness). Highlight any notable limitations, such as sample size or selection bias, briefly. Rephrase certain sections for conciseness, ensuring essential details remain.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically accurate, with sound methodology, robust analysis, and appropriate discussion of findings. The data collection and analysis processes align with the study objectives, and the conclusions are supported by the results.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and relevant, with most being recent. To further strengthen the manuscript, consider adding citations to address: Broader implications of Positive Deviance in chronic disease management (e.g., meta-analyses or reviews). Cross-sectional study design and its limitations in generalizability.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and professional, suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical refinements and rephrasing for conciseness in some sections are recommended.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well-structured and contributes valuable knowledge. Including more detailed participant feedback or qualitative insights could provide additional depth to the findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hira Shaikh
Department, University & Country	Bahria University Health Sciences, Pakistan