

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJRRGY_128714 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Analysis of immunohistochemical markers (Bcl-2 and Ki-67) expression in various endometrial lesions among women presenting with abnormal uterine bleeding |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | With the increasing cases of abnormal uterine bleeding ,studying the expression pattern of Ki-67 and Bcl-2 will definitely help in future for targeted therapies. Study also helps understand the hormones responsible for the increase expression in the above markers. We get an idea of what duration of the cycle shows maximum expression. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes the title is suitable | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The article is comprehensive and well articulated except few occasional spelling mistakes which needs to be corrected by Author and wherever Adenocarcinoma is mentioned it is better to mention which type (Eg:Endometriod Adenocarcinoma) instead of just mentioning Adenocarcinoma. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes it is scientifically correct | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes the references are sufficient | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Shilpa SJ |
| Department, University & Country | Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Medical College, India |