

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Gynaecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRGY_128714
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of immunohistochemical markers (Bcl-2 and Ki-67) expression in various endometrial lesions among women presenting with abnormal uterine bleeding
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a valuable contribution to the scientific community by advancing our understanding of the immunohistochemical markers Bcl-2 and Ki-67 in endometrial lesions. These markers offer insights into the balance between apoptosis and proliferation in abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB), which is critical for evaluating malignant potential in endometrial pathologies. The study's findings, such as the increased expression of these markers in hyperplasia and malignancy, highlight potential avenues for diagnostic and therapeutic interventions	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title is clear and descriptive. However, it is somewhat lengthy and could be refined to enhance clarity and appeal. Here are a few alternative suggestions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Immunohistochemical Analysis of Bcl-2 and Ki-67 in Endometrial Lesions of Women with Abnormal Uterine Bleeding" Bcl-2 and Ki-67 Expression in Endometrial Lesions: Insights into Abnormal Uterine Bleeding 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Include more details about the number of cases (200), the immunohistochemical staining process, and statistical tools like ANOVA to give a complete methodological snapshot. The detailed numerical values in the results might overwhelm the abstract. Summarizing the key trends (e.g., "Bcl-2 was most highly expressed in the proliferative phase, while Ki-67 peaked in endometrial carcinoma") would make it more digestible.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound based on the information provided. It adheres to standard research practices, including a clear hypothesis, well-defined methodology, and appropriate statistical analysis.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript are relevant and contribute to the scientific foundation of the study	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some sentences are wordy or awkwardly constructed. For example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Original: "Recently, Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) is on the rise and the underlying mechanism has been associated with the proliferation and apoptosis of certain markers." Suggested: "Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) has been increasingly reported, with its underlying mechanisms linked to cell proliferation and apoptosis." 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Javeria Khan
Department, University & Country	Iqra Universit, Pakistan