

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_127539
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vitro Anti-Sickling Activity of Adansonia digitata Fruits Extract on Sickle Cells
Type of the Article	Research

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Hemoglobinopathy is a major problem in all over the world. The medicinal plant mentioned here has various antioxidant activities, and due to this, it is beneficial for hemoglobinopathy. It may reduce the problem of sickling and is economically useful also.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes. The title is suitable for the article.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	In the background, mention the current mortality rate of sickle cell disease and add some words about the plant's therapeutic activity except anti-sickling activity.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Most of the references are backdated. Add some more current studies (within the last 5 years) regarding this topic.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Arrangements and grammar are not up to the mark.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All references are not in the same format.</li> <li>Reference numbers 1,11,18,20 is not in proper format.</li> <li>In the introduction add a flow chart diagram against the MOA.</li> <li>Before materials and methods, the point is not to arrange orderly.</li> <li>In materials and methods mention the hospital city and country name.</li> <li>The preparation of the methanolic extract's last portion is not relatable to the extraction of plants with solvent.</li> <li>In the discussion, incubation time is mentioned but it is not mentioned in the materials and methods as well as the results portion.</li> <li>Add histogram against Table-1,2,3.</li> </ul>	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Subhajit Mandal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Gitanjali College of Pharmacy, India</b>