

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_128397
Title of the Manuscript:	Diversity of Bacillus thuringiensis strains in some agroecological zones of Mali
Type of the Article	Research

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study provides valuable insights into the diversity of <i>Bt</i> strains in Mali and their genetic potential for agricultural applications. However, revisions are needed to improve methodological clarity, strengthen data analysis, and deepen the discussion of findings. Addressing these issues would enhance the scientific rigor and broader relevance of the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Diversity and Characterization of <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> Strains and <i>Cry</i> Gene Variability in Agro-Ecological Zones of Mali"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Well written and covered the content of the paper	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, The manuscript would benefit from a stronger connection to existing literature on <i>Bt</i> diversity in other regions. Comparing findings with global studies could contextualize the results and highlight unique aspects of Mali's agro-ecological zones.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ten more may added will provide the detailed discussion	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The text contains minor grammatical errors and instances of inconsistent formatting (e.g., inconsistent use of abbreviations like "Bt"). Careful editing is required to enhance readability and professionalism.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Need minor revision	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	M.Murugan
Department, University & Country	Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India