

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_128843
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Irrigation Regimes and Organic Amendments on Soil Physical Properties, Nutrient Availability, and Productivity in Calcareous Soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for scientific community, two crops is being used. To know the irrigation regime and soil amendment for above two crops cultivated in calcareous soil. Simple language and properly organized.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It relevant to the topic of interest and well written. Few comments added in abstract of the manuscript.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. However, in methodology part statistically analyses need to be explained. In the manuscript, table 3 is missing. Add it or rearrange the table number.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Check the comments added in manuscript. When explaining the various wheat parameters, you may need to include some references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	After the revision of the manuscript; it may be accepted.	

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Shormin Choudhury
Department, University & Country	Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Bangladesh