

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_128620
Title of the Manuscript:	Identifying the appropriate time of sowing and variety for chickpea cultivation in the Western-Terai Region of Nepal
Type of the Article	Full length research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is opt considering the socio economic importance of chickpea in the study area, with sowing date and choice of variety been the key factors influencing the productivity of the crop. Thus, deciphering the ideal sowing date with use of better cultivar could directly increase the farmers yield and food security of the country at large	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not really, However, authors could consider choosing any below. Impact of sowing date and variety on the performance of chickpea in the Western-Terai Region of Nepal Sowing date and variety influencing the productivity of chickpea in the Western-Terai Region of Nepal	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Check manuscript for moderation	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Check manuscript for moderation, revision etc	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are grossly scanty, less than 10 reference for a research manuscript is not recommended. Similarly, they are poorly written without adhering to referencing style of the journal.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Relatively ok, but there is room for improvement. The authors lacks the technicality of using statistical language such as ** highly significant * significant and it should be denoted with (P<0.001) and (P<0.05) and not using at 1 and 5 percent, respectively.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic need to be modified. 2. The use of sowing time is not appropriate as time denotes either morning, afternoon or evening or time series such as 1,2,.....11 am or pm 3. The discussion section needs to be recasted as the author(s) are still repeating the result instead of to discuss their findings and relate it to the existing literatures PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shittu. E.A
Department, University & Country	Bayero University, Nigeria