

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRAF_127418 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Registration of a Climate-Resilient Bread wheat variety "Melka" for the highland of Ethiopia |
| Type of the Article | Research |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The publication is significant because it emphasizes the enriched nutritional profile of the variety Melka, specifically its high iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) content, which can help correct micronutrient deficiencies, particularly in areas where the diet is deficient in these minerals. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | I incorporated the some points on the body of abstract on the manuscript. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Reliable results are guaranteed by the comprehensive experimental design, which includes appropriate control and sample sizes. Standard statistical techniques are also used in the data analysis, which gives the conclusions more soundness. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | |
| Optional/General comments | The discussion part is shallow. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Endashaw Girma |
| Department, University & Country | Ethiopia Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia |