

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_129450
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical, Therapeutic, and Evolutionary Aspects of Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Lyell's Syndrome in the Pediatric Department of the University Hospital Center of Libreville: Report of Two Clinical Cases
Type of the Article	Clinical Practice Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	These detailed case reports complement the literature in terms of early identification, multidisciplinary care and individualised intervention, leading to better outcomes in paediatric cases. In addition, this study demonstrates how and how to deal with severe drug-induced reactions in poor settings and provides practical recommendations for clinicians worldwide. Bringing the details of clinical variation as well as institutional challenges into the light, the paper fills in a need for paediatric emergency medicine and dermatology research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, its suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Manuscript abstract – Well, the abstract of the manuscript explains well the main aims, methods, findings and conclusions of the study. It describes the two paediatric presentations of Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Lyell's Syndrome from their clinical, therapeutic and evolutionary perspectives. But it could use some refinement to make it more thorough and efficient.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	1Include Diagnostic Criteria: Define exactly which diagnostic criteria should be applied to SJS and TEN to add scientific cred. 2Include Statistical Background: Compare with other data or research, even qualitative. 3Integrate Moral Clarity: State specifically IRB approval and informed consent. 4 Address Deficiencies: Explain the limitations of the two-case study, and suggest next steps of investigation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Please add more references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Stevens-Johnson Syndrome (SJS) and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis (TEN), also known as Lyell's Syndrome, needs more clarity about the objective and introduction part about the usage of terminology.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adinarayana Andy
Department, University & Country	USA