

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_128646
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comprehensive Analysis of the Clinical Outcomes, Lifestyle Impacts, and Family Satisfaction in Hirschsprung's Disease: A Retrospective Cohort Study from a Single Pediatric Surgery Unit</b>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Hirschsprung's disease is a common condition in our country. It is good to undertake the study to assess the family satisfaction and clinical outcome.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, needs to be more precise and compact in certain areas that I have mentioned.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Good paper . Only some portions Materials and Methos and Conclusion should be cut short.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In Introduction write the objectives in separate paragraph.</li> <li>2. Methodology ( Materials and Methods ) – should be more compact.</li> <li>3. In fig. 8 &amp; 9 - Color code not written in the figures.</li> <li>4. Mention the follow up of the patients in the study period.</li> <li>5. Limititions should be more compact.</li> </ol> <p>Lessons learnt or Conclusion should be more precise and it should be cut short.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Madhumita Mukhopadhyay
Department, University & Country	JISMSR, India